

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/047,511	COOK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Nicholas R. Taylor	2141	

**All Participants:**

(1) Nicholas R. Taylor.

(2) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Status of Application: RCE**

(3) Lawrence Eisen, Reg 41009.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 November 2006

**Time:** 11am

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Rejections under 102(e) in office action mailed June 26th, 2006.*

Claims discussed:

1-13

Prior art documents discussed:

*Eldering (US Patent 6,615,039).*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Discussed Examiner's Amendment to claims to correct spelling and minor clarifications in claims. Applicant explained differences over the prior art of record (see Eldering above).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)